

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,014	12/04/2003	Purusottam Sahoo		7285	
7	590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER		
Francis C. Ha			MCNEIL, JENNIFER C		
c/o Carella, By Cecchi, Stewar	rne, Bain, Gilfillan t & Olstein		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
5 Becker Farm			 		
Roseland, NJ 07068			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Aller
Application No.	Applicant(s)	ا
10/728,014	SAHOO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	ĺ
Jennifer C McNeil	1775	

Advisory Action	10/728,014	SAHOO ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer C McNeil	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a)						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).		RST REPLY WAS FILED	OWT NIHTIW C			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS		6 ''I 41 4 41				
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 						
appeal; and/or (d)☑ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· ·	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☒ Other: See attached comments and notice of improper amendment. 						
		JENNIFER MO: PRIMARY EX				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04) Art Unit: 1775

Advisory

Applicant has proposed amendments to the claims including cancellation of claim 4 and introduction of new claims 19 and 20. Applicant has presented additional claims without cancellation of a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

Applicants newly submitted claim 19 is not considered to overcome the rejection applied previously to claim 4. Regarding claims 1-14, while Nissley may teach a segmented abradable coating, the abradable coating is considered a topcoat, and is directly applied to the underlying bond coat.

Regarding applicant's amendment to 0.025 inches to 0.06 inches for the ceramic layer, Farmer teaches a coating up to about 0.02 inches. The term "about" is considered to "overlap" and would be subject to a 103 rejection. In the case where the claimed ranges 'overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art' a prima facie case of obviousness exists. In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (The prior art taught carbon monoxide concentrations of 'about 1-5%' while the claim was limited to 'more than 5%.' The court held that 'about 1-5%' allowed for concentrations slightly above 5% thus the ranges overlapped.); In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 1469-71, 43 USPQ2d 1362, 1365-66 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (Claim reciting thickness of a protective layer as falling within a range of '50 to 100 Angstroms' considered prima facie obvious in view of prior art reference teaching that 'for suitable protection, the thickness of the protective layer should be not less than about 10 nm [i.e., 100 Angstroms].' The court stated that 'by stating that suitable protection' is provided if the protective layer is about' 100 Angstroms thick, [the prior art reference] directly teaches the use of a thickness within [applicant's] claimed range.').

Attached is a notice of improper amendment due to improper status identifiers. In the interest of compact prosecution, despite the improper amendment, the advisory action has been considered, and the time frame of the advisory applies.





Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/728,014	SAHOO ET AL.	_
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jennifer C McNeil	1775	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on 23 February 2005 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is

required.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
 □ 4. Amendments to the claims: □ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. □ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) □ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). □ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. □ E. Other:
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website a http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf .

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quavle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.